

**FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS  
FOR  
PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS  
OF THE  
OFFICE OF STATEWIDE HEALTH PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**

**REGARDING THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE  
CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 24, PART 2  
(Nonstructural Chapters)**

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that every agency shall maintain a file of each rulemaking that shall be deemed to be the record for that rulemaking proceeding. The rulemaking file shall include a final statement of reasons. The Final Statement of Reasons shall be available to the public upon request when rulemaking action is being undertaken. The following are the reasons for proposing this particular rulemaking action:

**UPDATES TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS**

The Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development (OSHPD) finds that no revisions have been made which would warrant a change to the initial statement of reasons for the proposal to adopt the 2006 International Building Code for incorporation, by reference, into the 2007 California Building Code and to carry forward existing California amendments related to hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, licensed clinics and correctional treatment centers. This proposal will also clarify that requirements for skilled nursing facility construction also apply to “distinct part” units included on a hospital license and units within hospital buildings. In addition, minor technical amendments are proposed to the nonstructural provisions for coordination with other parts of the Title 24, California Building Standards Code.

**MANDATE ON LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICTS**

The OSHPD has determined that the proposed regulatory action would not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

**OBJECTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED REGULATION(S)**

The OSHPD did not receive any objections or recommendations regarding the proposed regulations.

**DETERMINATION OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND EFFECT ON PRIVATE PERSONS**

The OSHPD has determined that no alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulation.

**REJECTED PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD LESSEN THE ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES**

There were no proposed alternatives. The OSHPD has determined that the proposed regulations will not have an adverse impact on small businesses.

**COMMENTS MADE BY THE OFFICE OF SMALL BUSINESS ADVOCATE**

The OSHPD did not receive any comments from the Office of Small Business Advocate.

**COMMENTS MADE BY THE TRADE AND COMMERCE AGENCY**

The OSHPD did not receive any comments from the Trade and Commerce Agency.